



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/476,698	01/03/2000	SANDRO H. PINTZ	19223-000700	8171
22434 7:	590 06/16/2004		ЕХАМП	NER
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY.	P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	157
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/476,698	PINTZ ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUY T NGUYEN	2615
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e attachment.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30,32 and 33</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	, , , , , , –	·

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/476,698

Art Unit: 2615

Š

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 18 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. In Remarks applicant argues that "In particular, claim 1, requires at least one processing unit for processing software programmed to perform at least some subpicture data stream decoding and subpicture display control command execution; and a subpicture hardware unit configure to receive said subpicture pixel data stream, subpicture display control information extracted from a subpicture display control command executed by said at least one processing unit, subpicture display control commands not executed by said at least one; processing unit, and execute the subpicture display control commands not executed by said at least one processing unit, and generate subpicture display information for communication to a DVD video display unit..." Therefore, in contrast to Gadre, the decoding of the subpicture data stream is performed by both the at least one processing unit and the subpicture hardware unit since only "some subpicture data stream decoding" is performed by the at least one processing unit and wherein the subpicture hardware unit is "configured to ...execute the subpicture display control commands not executed by said at least one processing unit". In this way, the at least one processing unit performs only some of the subpicture data stream decoding and some of the subpicture display control command execution since the

Application/Control Number: 09/476,698

Art Unit: 2615

subpicture hardware unit executes the subpicture display control commands not executed by the processor."

In response to applicant argument, it is noted that the argument does not reflect the claims. Nowhere in claims do they recite that the subpicture hardware decodes the subpicture data stream. Further it is noted that Gadre, at column 5, lines 10-40 and column 9, lines 15-45, teaches the processing of subpicture data by using programmable instruction and a non programmed hardware and sharing the tasks between a processor and hardware device.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/476,698

Art Unit: 2615

HUY MELLYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

H.N